# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN



United States of America,

No. 18-20128

Plaintiff,

Hon. Stephen J. Murphy

٧.

Offenses:

D-8, Daniel Walton,

Count 1: 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(g)

Defendant.

Maximum Penalties:

Minimum of 20 years in prison Maximum of Life in prison

Supervised Release:

Minimum 5 years Maximum Life

**Maximum Fines:** 

\$250,000

## Rule 11 Plea Agreement

Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, defendant Daniel Walton and the government agree as follows:

## 1. Guilty Plea

### A. Count of Conviction

Defendant will enter a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment, which charges: child exploitation enterprise, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(g).

#### B. Elements of Offense

The elements of Count 1—child exploitation enterprise—are:

- The Defendant violated provisions of Chapter 110 and Chapter 117 of the United States Code, including production of child pornography and coercion and enticement of a minor;
- 2. The violations were part of a series of felony violations constituting three or more separate incidents;
- 3. The series of violations involved more than one victim;
- 4. The Defendant committed the violations in concert with at least three other individuals.

## C. Factual Basis for Guilty Plea

The following facts are a sufficient and accurate basis for defendant's guilty plea:

From at least January of 2012 to July 27, 2017, Defendant was a part of a group of individuals that worked together, using the internet, with the goal and common objective of enticing minor females to produce child pornography via web camera on a chatroom-based website (referred to as "Website A" for purposes of this plea agreement). The group pretended to be teenage boys or girls, used fake monikers to identify themselves, targeted victims on various social media platforms, recruited the victims to chatrooms on Website A, worked together to pressure victims to engage in masturbation in the chatrooms, recorded that activity, and shared recordings with each other.

There were more than ten core members of the group, including Defendant Daniel Walton. During the Defendant's membership in the group, there were never less than ten group members working in concert to accomplish the group's common objective.



To communicate with one another and to track the minor females the group targeted, the group maintained a spreadsheet on a website that any group member could edit. This spreadsheet provided information about the girls targeted by the group, the level of activity in particular chatrooms on Website A, and the group's so-called "hunt strategy." The hunt strategy set forth the best techniques for luring girls into the chatrooms on Website A. Importantly, this website with the spreadsheet contained a chat function that allowed group members to discuss, in real time, their activities on Website A. During these group chats, Defendant and the other group members organized and strategized about victims to target, methods to use to convince the victims to engage in sexual activity. and general group membership issues. The group also used their group chats to share recordings of child pornography produced through their scheme, and to celebrate their successes in convincing minor females to engage in sexual activity on camera in these chatrooms. When the hosting service that supported the spreadsheet website changed its format, the group moved onto another social media platform—Discord—to continue to organize and strategize together.

Each group member had at least one role, although at times a group member would play more than one role or switch from one role to another. For example, the group had "hunters," "talkers," and "loopers." "hunters" visited social media websites commonly used by the minor victims to interact with the minors. They were in charge of convincing the girls to log-on to Website A. They provided the girls with links to specific chatrooms that they or another group member would create on Website A. Once the minors logged-on to Website A, the "talkers" took over the primary job of conversing with them. They asked the minors to do "dares" which escalated into sexual activity. If a minor became suspicious of the members in a chatroom or was reluctant to engage in sexual activity, then the "loopers" would play a previously recorded video of a minor actively chatting and performing sexually explicit conduct in a chatroom. The "looper" pretended to be the minor in the video. The "looper" played the video or "loop" of a minor engaged in sexual activity in order to entice the minor in the chatroom to engage in the same sexually explicit activity.

The Defendant and the other group members would record the girls they enticed to come to Website A to engage in the lascivious display of the genitals, masturbation, and other sexual acts. The Defendant was a "talker" for the group, although he occasionally hunted for victims as well. Walton primarily used the name **SMOKE** on Website A.

The Defendant helped convince numerous minor female victims to produce child pornography on Website A. He recorded numerous different minor female victims producing child pornography on Website A. Specifically, the Defendant conspired with other group members in order to entice MV-1, MV-2, MV-3, and MV-6, among others, to produce child pornography during the course of the child exploitation enterprise.

MV-1, a child born in 2000 who resided in the Eastern District of Michigan during the scope of her exploitation, was directed and encouraged to engage in masturbation and the lascivious display of the genitals on web camera by Defendant and his co-defendants on numerous occasions. For example, at the Defendant and other group members' direction, MV-1 masturbated, live streaming on web camera, on June 28, 2015 and on October 9, 2015, and group members recorded that activity

on both dates. MV-1 was 14 years old on June 28 and was 15 on October 9.

MV-2, a child born in 2001 who resided in Canada during the scope of her exploitation, was directed and encouraged to engage in masturbation on web camera in Website A chatrooms by Defendant and his co-defendants on numerous occasions. MV-2 was frequently exploited by the group. The group recorded over 60 videos of MV-2 engaged in masturbation and/or the lascivious display of the genitals. For example, at the Defendant and other group members' direction, on December 31. 2015 and February 7, 2016, MV-2 masturbated, live streaming on web camera. At least one group member recorded this activity on both of these dates. MV-2 was 13 years old when the group first sexually exploited her on Website A. On several occasions when MV-2 was exploited by the group, including on December 31, 2015, one of the group members was targeting her from one or more locations in the Eastern District of Michigan.

MV-6, a child born in 2000 who resided in Oklahoma during the scope of her exploitation, was directed and encouraged to engage in Page 7 of 21

masturbation and the lascivious display of the genitals on web camera in Website A chatrooms by Defendant and his co-defendants on numerous occasions. For example, on December 14, 2015, December 25, 2015, and December 31, 2015 the Defendant and other group members' directed MV-6 to undress and display her genitals to the web camera. As a result, MV-6 partially undressed, while live streaming via web camera. She also sent an image of herself engaged in the lascivious display of the genitals to one of the group members on at least one of these occasions. And, on January 2, 2016, at the Defendant and other group members' direction, MV-6 engaged in the lascivious display of her genitals, while live streaming on web camera. Group members' recorded a video of MV-6 engaged in the above-described activity on each of these dates: December 14, December 25, and January 2. MV-6 was 15 years old when the group first sexually exploited her on Website A. On several occasions when MV-6 was exploited by the group, including on December 25, 2015, one of the group members was targeting her from one or more locations in the Eastern District of Michigan.

Beyond the specific victims mentioned above, Defendant acknowledges participating in the sexual exploitation, or attempted sexual exploitation, of dozens of other minor females in chatrooms on Website A. Defendant participated in this group from its inception in 2012, through the date of the execution of a search warrant at his residence. Defendant frequently engaged in this activity throughout the child exploitation enterprise. Defendant recorded dozens of minor females engaged in masturbation and/or the lascivious display of the genitals after the group enticed the minors to engage in this activity.

## 2. Sentencing Guideline Range

#### A. Standard of Proof

The Court will find sentencing factors by a preponderance of the evidence.

## B. Agreed Guideline Range

There are no sentencing guideline disputes. Except as provided below, the parties recommend that Defendant's guideline range is **life** imprisonment, as set forth on the attached worksheets. The mandatory

minimum sentence for the offense is 20 years or 240 months' imprisonment.

#### If the Court finds:

- a) that Defendant's criminal history category is higher than reflected on the attached worksheets,
   or
- b) that the offense level should be higher because, after pleading guilty, Defendant made any false statement to or withheld information from his probation officer; otherwise demonstrated a lack of acceptance of responsibility for his offense(s); or obstructed justice or committed any crime,

and if any such finding results in a higher offense gravity score than calculated by the parties in the attached worksheets, the higher offense gravity score becomes the range recommended by the Defendant and the Government. The Court is not bound by this recommendation concerning the guideline range, and Defendant understands that he will have no right to withdraw his guilty plea if the Court does not follow the Page 10 of 21

recommendation. If however, the Court finds that Defendant is a career offender or an armed career criminal, as defined under the sentencing guidelines or other federal law, and that finding is not already reflected in the attached worksheets, this paragraph does *not* authorize a corresponding increase in the agreed range.

Neither party may take a position concerning the applicable guidelines that is different than any position of that party as reflected in the attached worksheets, except as necessary to the Court's determination regarding subsections 1) and 2), above.

## C. Relevant Conduct

The Defendant and other group members coerced and enticed dozens of minor victims between the dates of January 1, 2012, and October 23, 2017. The Defendant, in concert with others, recorded these victims producing child pornography after directing them to do so. And, he and other group members strategized about how to get numerous other minor victims, some of whom did not ultimately produce child pornography, to engage in sexually explicit conduct on Website A. The

Defendant, in concert with others, lied about his age and identity in order to convince the minor victims to engage in sexual activity.

#### 3. Sentence

The Court will impose a sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553, and in doing so must consider the sentencing guideline range.

## A. Imprisonment

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B), the Government makes a non-binding recommendation that the sentence of imprisonment be no more than the sentencing guideline range determined by paragraph 2B. The Court **must** impose a sentence of imprisonment on Count One of at least twenty (20) years.

## B. Supervised Release

A term of supervised release follows the term of imprisonment.

There is no agreement as to the term of supervised release. But the Court must impose a term of supervised release on Count One of no less than five years. The agreement concerning imprisonment described above in

Paragraph 3A does not apply to any term of imprisonment that results from any later revocation of supervised release.

## C. Special Assessment

Pursuant to the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act of 2015, Defendant will pay a special assessment of \$5,000.00. See 18 U.S.C. § 3014.

#### D. Fines

There is no agreement as to fines.

#### E. Restitution

The Court shall order restitution to every identifiable victim of Defendant's offense. See 18 U.S.C. § 2259. Defendant agrees to pay restitution in the amount of \$5,000 to any identified victim associated with his conduct on Website A and/or his membership in the above-described group from January 1, 2012, through October 23, 2017. The term "identified victim" means a victim whose identity is able to be discovered or confirmed through diligent investigation by the time of sentencing. Any identified victim still maintains a right to request a

larger amount of restitution from the Court, but Defendant agrees to pay a minimum of \$5,000 per identified victim.

## 4. SORNA/Adam Walsh Act

The Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty in this case, he will be required to register as a sex offender. The Court, as a condition of supervised release or probation, must order the defendant to comply with all sex offender registration requirements under the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act and that, if applicable, Defendant must register and keep registration current and accurate in each of the following jurisdictions: the location of residence; the location of employment; and location of any school that defendant is attending. The Defendant understands that such information must be updated not later than three business days after any change. A failure to comply with these and other obligations may subject the defendant to prosecution under federal or state law.

#### 5. Forfeiture

As part of this agreement, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2253(a)(3) and/or 18 U.S.C. § 2428, Defendant agrees to forfeit his interest in the following property:

- 1. Any visual depiction described in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251, 2251A, or 2252, 2252A, 2252B, or 2260, or any book, magazine, periodical, film, videotape, or other matter which contains any such visual depiction, which was produced, transported, mailed, shipped, or received in violation of these subsections;
- 2. His interest in, if any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross profits or other proceeds obtained as a result of violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252A(a)(2) and/or 2422(b); and
- 3. His interest in, if any property, real or personal, involved in the commission of violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252A(a)(2) and/or 2422(b).

In entering into this agreement with respect to forfeiture, Defendant expressly waives his right to have a jury determine the forfeitability of his interest in the above-described property as provided by Rule 32.2(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

In entering into this agreement with respect to forfeiture, Defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives any challenge to the

above-described forfeiture based upon the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Defendant further waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant acknowledges that he understands that the forfeiture of the above-described assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the court to advise him of this, pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(J), at the time his guilty plea is accepted.

## 6. Use of Withdrawn Guilty Plea

If the Court allows the defendant to withdraw his guilty plea for a "fair and just reason" pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(d)(2)(B), defendant waives his rights under Fed. R. Evid. 410, and the government may use his guilty plea, any statement made under oath at the change-of-plea hearing, and the factual basis statement in this plea agreement, against him in any proceeding.

## 7. Other Charges

If the Court accepts this agreement, the government will dismiss any remaining charges in this case against this defendant.

## 8. Each Party's Right to Withdraw from This Agreement

The recommendations in Part 3 are not binding on the Court.

Defendant has no right to withdraw his guilty plea and the parties have no right to withdraw from this agreement if the Court decides not to follow them.

## 9. Appeal Waiver

Defendant waives any right he may have to appeal his conviction. If the sentence imposed does not exceed the maximum recommendation allowed by Part 3 of this agreement, Defendant also waives any right he may have to appeal his sentence. If the sentence imposed is within the guideline range determined by Paragraph 2B the Government agrees not to appeal the sentence, but retains its right to appeal any sentence below that range. Nothing in this waiver shall be construed to bar a claim of

ineffective assistance of counsel, provided that the defendant properly raises such claim by collateral review under 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

# 10. Consequences of Withdrawal of Guilty Plea or Vacation of Conviction

If defendant is allowed to withdraw his guilty plea or if any conviction entered pursuant to this agreement is vacated, the Court shall, on the government's request, reinstate any charges that were dismissed as part of this agreement. If additional charges are filed against defendant within six months after the date the order vacating defendant's conviction or allowing him to withdraw his guilty plea becomes final, which charges relate directly or indirectly to the conduct underlying the guilty plea or to any conduct reflected in the attached worksheets, defendant waives his right to challenge the additional charges on the ground that they were not filed in a timely manner, including any claim that they were filed after the limitations period expired.

## 11. Parties to Plea Agreement

Unless otherwise indicated, this agreement does not bind any government agency except the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Michigan.

## 12. Scope of Plea Agreement

This agreement, which includes all documents that it explicitly incorporates, is the complete agreement between the parties. This agreement supersedes all other promises, representations, understandings and agreements between the parties concerning the subject matter of this plea agreement that were made at any time before the guilty plea is entered in court. Thus, no oral or written promises made by the government to defendant or to the attorney for the defendant at any time before defendant pleads guilty are binding except to the extent they have been explicitly incorporated into this agreement.

Notwithstanding the previous paragraph, if defendant has entered into a proffer agreement in writing or a cooperation agreement in writing with the government, this plea agreement does not supersede or abrogate the terms of any such prior written agreement.

This agreement also does not prevent any civil or administrative actions against defendant, or any forfeiture claim against any property, by the United States or any other party.

## 13. Acceptance of Agreement by Defendant

This plea offer expires unless it has been received, fully signed, in the Office of the United States Attorney by 5:00 p.m. on March 23, 2018. The government reserves the right to modify or revoke this offer at any time before defendant pleads guilty.

Matthew Schneider United States Attorney

Matthew Roth

Chief, Major Crimes Unit

Kevin M. Mulcahy April N. Russo

April IV. Nusso

Assistant United States Attorneys

Date: April 6, 2018

By signing below, defendant acknowledges that he has read (or been read) this entire document, understands it, and agrees to its terms. He also acknowledges that he is satisfied with his attorney's advice and representation. Defendant agrees that he has had a full and complete opportunity to confer with his lawyer, and has had all of his questions answered by his lawyer.

Jim Thomas,

Attorney for Daniel Walton

Daniel Walton

Defendant

Date:

# WORKSHEET A

## **OFFENSE LEVEL**

Defendant Daniel	Walton	Distri	ct/Office <u>Eastern</u>	District of Mic	chigan
Docket Number 18-		_			
Count Number(s) 1		Code Title & Section 18	: USC 2252A(g)	;;	
Guidelines Manual	Edition Used: 20 <u>16</u> (Note: Th	ne Worksheets are keyed to t	the November 1, 20	016 Guidelines	Manual)
Exceptions: Use only aggregate value or que count that was the sol	Worksheet A for each count of casingle Worksheet A where the antity (see §3D1.2(d)) or where e object of the conspiracy, solicity (See Chapter Two)	ne offense level for a group of a count of conspiracy, solicitate ation, or attempt (see §3D1.2	f closely related contion, or attempt is (a) & (b)).	unts is based p grouped with a	orimarily on substantive
	cable base offense level and a determinations. Enter the su		eristics from Chap	oter Two and e	explain the
Guideline		Description			Level
§2G2.6	Child Exploitation Enterprise				35
§2G2.6(b)(1)	A victim had not attained the	age of 12 years old		-	4
§2G2.6(b)(4)	Use of a computer				2
	(Large Marie				
_	Two guideline requires and ditional Worksheet A may be			Sum	41
2. Victim-Relate	d Adjustments (See Chap	oter Three, Part A)			
Enter the appli	cable section and adjustment and enter the combined ad	t. If more than one section		§ 3A1.1(b)	2
3. Role in the Off	ense Adjustments (See C	Chapter Three, Part B)			
Enter the appli	cable section and adjustment and enter the combined ac ater a minus (—) sign in fror	t. If more than one section ljustment. If the adjustme	nt reduces the	§	
Enter the appli	djustments (See Chapter cable section and adjustment and enter the combined ad	t. If more than one section		§	
5. Adjusted Offe	nse Level				
	of Items 1–4. If this Workshot ottom of Worksheet B, con em 1.				43
	f all counts (including situat		f Worksheet B)* a	re addressed	on this one

If the defendant has no criminal history, enter "I" here and on Worksheet D, Item 4. No Worksheet C is used.

MULTIPLE COUNTS*				
Defendant Docket Number				
INSTRUCTIONS  STEP 1: Determine if any of the counts group under §3D1.2(a)—(d) ("the grouping rules"). All, some, or none of the counts may group. Some of the counts may have already been grouped in the application under Worksheet A, specifically: (1) counts grouped under §3D1.2(d); or (2) a count charging conspiracy, solicitation, or attempt that is grouped with the substantive count of conviction (see §3D1.2(a)). Explain the reasons for grouping:				
STEP 2: Using the box(es) provided below, for each group of "closely related counts" (i.e., counts that group the four grouping rules), enter the highest adjusted offense level from Item 5 of the various Workshee group. See §3D1.3. Note that a "group" may consist of a single count that has not grouped with any other of the offense level for the group will be the adjusted offense level for the single count.	ets "A" that comprise the			
<ul> <li>STEP 3: Enter the number of units to be assigned to each group (see §3D1.4) as follows:</li> <li>One unit (1) for the group of counts with the highest offense level</li> <li>An additional unit (1) for each group that is equally serious or 1 to 4 levels less serious</li> <li>An additional half unit (1/2) for each group that is 5 to 8 levels less serious</li> <li>No increase in units for groups that are 9 or more levels less serious</li> </ul>				
Adjusted Offense Level for the First Group of Counts				
Count number(s)	Unit			
2. Adjusted Offense Level for the Second Group of Counts				
Count number(s)	Unit			
3. Adjusted Offense Level for the Third Group of Counts				
Count number(s)	Unit			
4. Adjusted Offense Level for the Fourth Group of Counts				
Count number(s)	Unit			
5. Adjusted Offense Level for the Fifth Group of Counts				
Count number(s)	Unit			
6. Total Units				
	Total Units			
7. Increase in Offense Level Based on Total Units (See §3D1.4)				
1 unit: no increase 2½ – 3 units: add 3 levels 1½ units: add 1 level 3½ – 5 units: add 4 levels 2 units: add 2 levels More than 5 units: add 5 levels				
8. Highest of the Adjusted Offense Levels from Items 1–5 Above				
9. Combined Adjusted Offense Level (See §3D1.4)				
Enter the sum of Items 7 & 8 here and on Worksheet D, Item 1.				
*Note: Worksheet B also includes applications that are done "as if there were multiple counts of convictions," conspiracies (see §1B1.2(d)); offense guidelines that direct such application (e.g., §2G2.1(d)(1) (Child Porn Produ additional offenses (see §1B1.2(c)). Note also that these situations typically require the use of multiple Worksheets	uction)); and stipulations to			

# WORKSHEET C

## **CRIMINAL HISTORY**

[Page 1 of 2]

Defendant		I	Oocket Numbe	r	
	me of the basic criminal history " that must be used with Workshe			merous additional o	criminal history rules at
	Date of the Defendant's R defendant's commencement				
1. Prior Senter	nces Resulting from Offe	nses Committed Prior t	o the Defen	dant's 18th Bir	thday
month	s if convicted as an $adult$ , imposed within 15 years ation during any part of tha	of the defendant's earli	est date of 1	elevant conduc	ct or resulting in
§4A1.1(a	s for each prior <i>adult or ju</i> ) imposed within 5 years or fendant's earliest date of rel	from which the defendan	t was released	d from confinem	
	for each prior <i>adult or juve</i> f the defendant's earliest da				
A release date is red defendant's earliest (2) when a sentence	dult" any sentence exceeding on quired in only two instances: (1) w date of relevant conduct but re e counted under §4A1.1(b) was nfinement occurred within such 5	when a sentence covered und esulted in the defendant being imposed more than 5 years p	er §4A1.1(a) was g incarcerated d	imposed more the uring any part of s	uch 15-year period; or
Date of Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guideline Section	Criminal History Points
			2		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3
			E		
2. Prior Senter	nces Resulting from Offe	nses Committed On o	After the De	efendant's 18t	h Birthday
15 years	s for each prior sentence of the defendant's earliest ear period. See §§4A1.1(a) a	date of relevant conduct			
	for each prior sentence of years of the defendant's ea				
	for each prior sentence no t's earliest date of relevant				in 10 years of the
	s is required when a sentence conduct but resulted in the defende				ne defendant's earliest
Date of Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guideline Section	Criminal History Points

# Worksheet C — Criminal History [Page 2 of 2]

Defendant		Docket Number 18-20128			
(continued from	m Sentences Resulting from	n Offenses Committed On	or After the Defe	ndant's 18th Bii	rthday)
Date of Imposition	Offense	Sentence	Release Date	Guideline Section	Criminal History Point
			_	,	X
			_		
8		· ·			
**************************************	A CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE P		34:		
			_		
			_		
3. Sum of C	riminal History Points for	prior sentences unde	r §4A1.1(a), (b	), $\&$ (c) in Item	ns 1 & 2
A total of 4	points can be added for al	l the 1-Point sentences co	ounted in Items	1 & 2 combined.	,
11 00001 01	. Positio our se maneu ses m				
I. "Status" c	of Defendant at Time of	Instant Offense			
	for "status" if the defendar		f the instant offe	ense (i.e., any re	elevant
	while under any criminal				
imprisonm	ent, work release, or escap	oe status) for a sentence	counted in Item	s 1 or 2. See §4.	A1.1(d)
and Applic	ation Note 4. List the type	of control and identify th	e counted senter	nce that resulted	l in the
control. Ot	herwise, enter 0 Points.		*		
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000				- 185 - 185
	f <b>Violence</b> r each prior sentence resul	ting from a conviction of	a crime of violer	see that did not	rocoivo
	under §4A1.1(a), (b), or (c)				
	led another sentence result				
	led under this subsection.				
	e crimes of violence and h				
	, enter 0 Points.				
		With the Transport of the Control of			
<ol> <li>Total Crin</li> </ol>	ninal History Points (Sum	of Items 3–5)	Proposition of the second		
			15 11 0		
	History Category (Enter				
		minal History Categor	<b>y</b>		
	0–1 2–3	$\Pi$			
Total Samuel Control of the Control	2–3 4–6	III	\$10.03 1		
contract and a contract of the	7–9	IV			·
	0–12	V	en Andre N		
13.0	n more	VI	183		

## WORKSHEET D

## **DETERMINING THE SENTENCE**

[Page 1 of 4]

Defendant Daniel Walton	Docket Number 18-20128	
	4	
1. Adjusted Offense Level (From Worksheet A or B) If Worksheet B is required, enter the result from Works from Worksheet A, Item 5.	heet B, Item 9. Otherwise, enter the res	sult 43
2. Acceptance of Responsibility (See Chapter Three, Enter the applicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no adjus	Part E) tment is applicable, enter "0".	_3
3. Offense Level Total (Item 1 less Item 2)		
· · · · · ·		40
4. Criminal History Category (From Worksheet A or C Enter the result from Worksheet C, Item 8, unless the directed at the bottom of Worksheet A, no Worksheet C is	defendant has no criminal history, and	as
<ol> <li>Terrorism; Career Offender; Criminal Livelihood; A Sex Offender (See Chapter Three, Part A, and Chapter Three)</li> </ol>		d Dangerous
a. Offense Level Total  If the provision for Career Offender (§4B1.1), Cri Criminal (§4B1.4), or Repeat and Dangerous Sex Offerhigher than Item 3, enter the offense level total. Other	nder (§4B1.5) results in an offense level to	
b. Criminal History Category If the provision for Terrorism (§3A1.4), Career Offende or Repeat and Dangerous Sex Offender (§4B1.5) resul Item 4, enter the applicable criminal history category	ts in a criminal history category higher th	
6. Guideline Range from Sentencing Table		
Enter the applicable guideline range from Chapter Five, Part A, in months.		to
7. Restricted Guideline Range (See Chapter Five, Pa		
minimum sentence restricts the guideline range (Item 6	If the statutorily authorized maximum sentence or the statutorily required minimum sentence restricts the guideline range (Item 6) (see §§5G1.1 and 5G1.2), enter either the restricted guideline range or any statutory maximum or minimum panelty that would modify the guideline range. Otherwise enter "N/A"	
Check here if §5C1.2 (Limitation on Applicability 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e) — "The Safety Valve" — are applicable."	of Statutory Minimum Penalties in Cert	tain Cases) and
8. Undischarged Term of Imprisonment; Anticipated	State Term of Imprisonment (See §5	G1.3)
If the defendant is subject to an undischarged imprisonment, check this box. Below list the und \$5G1.3 and its direction or guidance as to wheth concurrently or consecutively to the undischarged	lischarged/anticipated term(s), the appli- er the instant federal sentence is to be	cable section of imposed to run

# Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 2 of 4]

Defendant	Daniel Walton Docket Number 18-20128
	ncing Options (See Chapter Five, Sentencing Table and §§581.1 (a) and 501.1)
Check	the applicable box that corresponds to the Guideline Range entered in Item 6 or Item 7, if applicable.
	<b>Zone A</b> (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(a) & (b))
	If checked, the following options are available:
	• Fine (See §§5C1.1(b) & 5E1.2(a))
	• "Straight" Probation (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(b))
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(1))
	Zone B (See §§5B1.1(a)(2) & 5C1.1(a) & (c))
	If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(2))
	<ul> <li>Imprisonment of at least one month plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(c)(2))</li> </ul>
	• Probation with a condition that substitutes intermittent confinement, community confinement, or home detention for imprisonment (See §§5B1.1(a)(2) and 5C1.1(c)(3))
	Zone C (See §5C1.1(a) & (d))
	If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:
	• Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (d)(1))
	• Imprisonment of at least one-half of the minimum term plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(d)(2))
	<b>Zone D</b> (See §5C1.1(α) & (f))
$\checkmark$	If checked, the minimum term is to be satisfied by a sentence of imprisonment
10 Length	not Term of Probation (See § 5B1, 2)
If prob	ation is imposed, the guideline for the length of such term of probation is: (Check the applicable box)
	At least one year, but not more than five years if the offense level total is 6 or greater.
	No more than three years if the offense level total is 5 or less.

# Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 3 of 4]

Defend	lant Dai	niel Walton	Docket Number 18-20128			
			·			
11. Su	pervise	d(Release (See §§5D1.1) and 5D1.2)				
a.	a. Imposition of a Term of Supervised Release:					
	Ordered because required by statute (See §5D1.1(a)(1)).					
		Ordered because a sentence of imprisonment of mo	re than one year is imposed (See §5D1.1(a)(2)).			
		Is <b>not</b> ordered although a sentence of more than of statute <b>and</b> the defendant likely will be deported a				
		Ordered because it may be ordered in any other cas	se (See §5D1.1(b)).			
b.	Length	of Term of Supervised Release				
	Check	the Class of the Offense:				
		Class A or B Felony: Two to Five Year Term (See §5	D1.2(a)(1))			
		Class C or D Felony: One to Three Year Term (See §	5D1.2(a)(2))			
		Class E Felony or Class A Misdemeanor: One Year	Гегт (See §5D1.2(a)(3))			
	$\checkmark$	If a statutorily required mandatory minimum term guideline range for the applicable Class of Offense minimum term (See §5D1.2(c)):				
		5 years mandatory minimum term of supervise	d release			
		If an offense in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) that resuserious bodily injury to another person; or if a sex oless than the minimum term established above, and	ffense, the term of supervised release will not be			
		Policy Statement: If a sex offense, the statutory max	cimum term of supervised release is recommended.			
12. Re	stitutio	ni(See*§5Ei(1))				
a.		tution is applicable, enter the amount. Otherwise en per identified victim	ter "N/A" and the reason:			
b.	Enter Mand	whether restitution is statutorily mandatory or discr atory	etionary:			
c.	author	whether restitution is by an order of restitution, o izing statute: of restitution	r solely as a condition of supervision. Enter the			

# Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 4 of 4]

Defendant Daniel Walton	Docket Number 18-20128	
13. Fines (The Guideline Range for Fines for Individual De	tendants):(See §5E1.2)	
a. Special Fine Provisions	Minimum	Maximum
Check box if any of the counts of conviction is for a a special fine provision. (This <i>does not</i> include the provisions of 18 USC § 3571(b)(2) & (d)).		<del></del>
Enter the sum of statutory maximum fines for all	such counts.	\$
b. Fine Table (§5E1.2(c)(3))  Enter the minimum and maximum fines.	\$50,000	\$500,000
c. Fine Guideline Range (Determined by the minimum of the Fine Table (Item 1 greater maximum above (Item 15(a) or 15(b))).	5(b)) and the \$	\$
d. Ability to Pay		
Check this box if the defendant does not have an	ability to pay.	
14:Special Assessments for Individual Defendants (See §	5E(/3) 10 3 5 5 5	
<ul> <li>Enter the total amount of the statutory special assessments</li> <li>\$100 for each felony count of conviction.</li> <li>\$25 for each Class A misdemeanor count of conviction.</li> <li>While not subject to guideline sentencing, the special asseand a Class C misdemeanor or infraction are \$10 and \$5</li> </ul>	essments for a Class B misdemeanor	,
TOTAL:		\$5,000
15: Factors That May Warrant a Departure (See § 181). (6)		
Consider Chapter Five, Part H (Specific Offender Character statements and commentary in the Guidelines Manual (See also the "List of Departure Provisions" included in the Commentary in the Com	that might warrant consideration	
[6] Factors That May Warrant a Variance (See § 1811 (c))		
Consider the applicable factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) taken		
	·	
,		
Completed by April N. Russo	Date <u>4/6/2018</u>	